Dear Madam/Dear Sir,

The following questionnaire is a part of a mid-term evaluation in the Interdisciplinary Doctoral School at the University of Warsaw. This questionnaire aims to obtain information about the cooperation between the supervisor and the Doctoral student as well as the second supervisor.

Please complete this questionnaire following the guidelines below. The results of the questionnaire are strictly confidential. Access to the results will be restricted to the members of the evaluation committee. The results will enable the mid-term evaluation committee to complete the evaluation process.

Director of the IDS and IDS Council

**Name of the Doctoral student**:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

1. **Assess your academic guidance offered to the Doctoral student in respect of**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – not required by the Doctoral student)*

1. interdisciplinary research methods

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. Individual Research Plan preparation

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. recommendation of secondary sources

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. research planning (such as, the feasibility of the Doctoral student’s research objectives and probability of research completion within the duration of the Interdisciplinary Doctoral School programme)

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. the Doctoral student’s performance review

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. academic writing techniques

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **Assess your organisational guidance offered to the Doctoral student in respect of**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – not required by the Doctoral student)*

1. introducing the Doctoral student to the organisational structure of the university to facilitate the candidate’s research skills

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. introducing the Doctoral student to the university research facilities

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. introducing the Doctoral student to the research facilities offered by external institutions in terms of research funding

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. developing international and internal academic networking with other researchers and research centres

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. participation in academic conferences

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. development of competences useful in publishing academic articles (finding accredited peer-reviewed journals, explaining the process of peer review)

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. reviewing applications (relevant for the Doctoral student’s programme)

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. cooperation with the authorities of the Doctoral School

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **Assess your guidance offered to the Doctoral student in other respects**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – not required by the Doctoral student)*

1. motivation for doctoral work

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. solving research problems

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. solving administrative problems

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **Assess your guidance offered to the Doctoral student in respect of their teaching practice?**

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – not required by the Doctoral student/teaching practice not applicable)*

 1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **Assess your cooperation with the second supervisor in respect of**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – I cannot judge it)*

1. academic support for the Doctoral student

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. organisational guidance for the Doctoral student

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. building rapport with the Doctoral student

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **How do you assess the cooperation within the team of the supervisors and Doctoral student in respect of the Doctoral student’s progress in general, in respect of**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent)*

1. academic cooperation

1 2 3 4 5 6

1. organisational cooperation

1 2 3 4 5 6

1. building rapport

 1 2 3 4 5 6

1. **How do you assess the Doctoral student’s commitment to cooperation with you in respect of her/his progress towards a doctorate in general?**

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent)*

1 2 3 4 5 6

1. **If you have further remarks that are relevant for this mid-term evaluation, please type them below. If you have any other remarks to substantiate the above responses, please type them below.**

**Name and surname of the supervisor** submitting the questionnaire

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………